

**From:** Aaron Zimmerman <Aaron.Zimmerman.216843 [REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 9, 2019 4:55 PM  
**To:** ATR-LitIII-Information (ATR) <ATR.LitIII.Information@ATR.USDOJ.gov>  
**Subject:** ASCAP and BMI Consent Decrees Continue to Serve Small Business Owners

Dear Assistant Attorney General Makan Delrahim,

COMMENTS OF Aaron Zimmerman, Tobin Center for Performing Arts, VP of Programming  
Submitted in Response to the U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division's  
June 5, 2019, Solicitation of Public Comments Regarding the Pro-Competitive Benefits  
of the ASCAP and BMI Consent Decrees

I respectfully submit these comments as a Tobin Center for Performing arts owner from San Antonio, Texas, that licenses music to keep our lights on. I write today to urge the Department of Justice to preserve and protect the pro-consumer consent decrees governing the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP) and Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI).

Together, ASCAP and BMI control nearly ninety percent of the music licensing business, and these decrees serve the public interest by providing essential protections from anti-competitive behaviors. The consent decrees, in particular, prohibit ASCAP and BMI from discriminating against similarly-situated music users; ensure reasonable royalty rates; and require that every business—no matter how large or small—can get a license upon request.

While far from perfect, ASCAP and BMI provide an efficient way for VP of Programming to play music while ensuring we compensate the songwriters and copyright holders who create it. Their blanket licenses, made possible by the decrees, underpin the music licensing system. Terminating or sunseting the decrees would lead to chaos for the entire marketplace, jeopardizing the licensing system as we know it.

This disruption would lead to chaos for small businesses across the country. The current policy isn't equal. We pay the same licence if we play one song for 50 songs in a night. Unreasonable to pay this fee for a comedian walk-in music. Without the decrees in place, the harassment from ASCAP and BMI will only get worse. Yes, we've since purchased Spotify cooperate which pays the licence on our behalf for lobby music. We still have to pay for every artist that performs here even if its the artists own music. Why do we need to licence an artist music if we're already paying them to play here? In order to keep paying artists, it is vital that these decrees are not eliminated or sunset.

Many businesses that regularly play and license music already face ongoing challenges when working with ASCAP and BMI. The outcome of terminating the consent decrees would further exacerbate these burdens.

As it stands today, business owners lack access to essential, reliable information about what each performance rights license entails and, as a result, cannot make an informed decision when seeking to license music from any one of the ever-increasing number of music licensing collectives. I have been harassed by ASCAP and BMI and often times it's impossible to know which licenses I need. Given this long-standing lack of transparency and ASCAP and BMI's reliance on heavy-handed tactics and take-it-or-leave-it demands, many businesses have dropped music altogether. Without the consent decrees, many more businesses would discontinue music, resulting in fewer places across our communities for

musicians to perform and decreased songwriter compensation.

In considering the future of the ASCAP and BMI consent decrees, I would like the Justice Department to know that the consent decrees are important because we have to pay them on over 300 events a year and its a MAJOR line item in our budget..

Just as the Department of Justice concluded less than three years ago and after a two-year review, the ASCAP and BMI consent decrees continue to be relevant and necessary today and in the future. We ask the Department of Justice to protect our ability to play music, host new and upcoming artists, and ensure these pro-consumer decrees are protected.