

**From:** susan tierney <susan.tierney.215682[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 9, 2019 2:47 PM  
**To:** ATR-LitIII-Information (ATR) <ATR.LitIII.Information@ATR.USDOJ.gov>  
**Subject:** ASCAP and BMI Consent Decrees Continue to Serve Small Business Owners

Dear Assistant Attorney General Makan Delrahim,

COMMENTS OF susan tierney, captain scott's lobster dock, owner  
Submitted in Response to the U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division's  
June 5, 2019, Solicitation of Public Comments Regarding the Pro-Competitive Benefits  
of the ASCAP and BMI Consent Decrees

I respectfully submit these comments as a seasonal outdoor restaurant, no alcohol owner from New London, Connecticut, that licenses music to support local musicians through live performances. I write today to urge the Department of Justice to preserve and protect the pro-consumer consent decrees governing the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP) and Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI).

Together, ASCAP and BMI control nearly ninety percent of the music licensing business, and these decrees serve the public interest by providing essential protections from anti-competitive behaviors. The consent decrees, in particular, prohibit ASCAP and BMI from discriminating against similarly-situated music users; ensure reasonable royalty rates; and require that every business—no matter how large or small—can get a license upon request.

While far from perfect, ASCAP and BMI provide an efficient way for owner to play music while ensuring we compensate the songwriters and copyright holders who create it. Their blanket licenses, made possible by the decrees, underpin the music licensing system. Terminating or sunseting the decrees would lead to chaos for the entire marketplace, jeopardizing the licensing system as we know it.

This disruption would hurt local musicians who play for my customers. Yes, non stop calls during busiest times of day. Without the decrees in place, the harassment from ASCAP and BMI will only get worse. no In order to keep paying artists, it is vital that these decrees are not eliminated or sunset.

Many businesses that regularly play and license music already face ongoing challenges when working with ASCAP and BMI. The outcome of terminating the consent decrees would further exacerbate these burdens.

As it stands today, business owners lack access to essential, reliable information about what each performance rights license entails and, as a result, cannot make an informed decision when seeking to license music from any one of the ever-increasing number of music licensing collectives. It is impossible to tailor my licenses based on the needs of my business. I should be able to license with only one licensing organization rather than all of them. Given this long-standing lack of transparency and ASCAP and BMI's reliance on heavy-handed tactics and take-it-or-leave-it demands, many businesses have dropped music altogether. Without the consent decrees, many more businesses would discontinue music, resulting in fewer places across our communities for musicians to perform and decreased songwriter compensation.

In considering the future of the ASCAP and BMI consent decrees, I would like the Justice Department to

know that the consent decrees are important because As a small seasonal business owner, we only play music, maybe 12 times a year. Local musicians who mostly write their own music, no background or jukebox...it's hard for me to believe that I should be paying upwards of \$1000 per season..

Just as the Department of Justice concluded less than three years ago and after a two-year review, the ASCAP and BMI consent decrees continue to be relevant and necessary today and in the future. We ask the Department of Justice to protect our ability to play music, host new and upcoming artists, and ensure these pro-consumer decrees are protected.